Usability Evaluation of Healthcare Information Systems

Student Name:

Institutional Affiliation:

Date of submission:

Article Subject Area: Healthcare Information Systems

Type of Data Set Used/Evaluated: Patient health records, clinical data, and user feedback data

Synopsis of Article: This scholarly article reviews the importance of data in evaluating healthcare information systems (HIS) usability. The authors argue that traditional usability evaluation methods, such as task completion rates and user satisfaction surveys, are insufficient to capture the complex nature of HIS usability (Hertzum., 2022). Therefore, they propose a data-centric approach that utilizes patient health records, clinical data, and user feedback data to evaluate HIS usability.

The authors conducted a study that collected data from patient health records, clinical data, and user feedback data for six months. They then analyzed the data using statistical methods and found that the data-centric approach provided valuable insights into HIS usability. They found that by analyzing patient health records, they could identify potential usability issues that could lead to medical errors (Kushendriawan et al., 2021). Analyzing clinical data helped them understand the impact of HIS on the workflow of healthcare professionals. User feedback data helped them identify specific areas of improvement in HIS design.

Three business takeaways on how data impacts the industry concerning usability:

Data is crucial in evaluating the usability of healthcare information systems: Data has become an essential component in evaluating the usability of healthcare information systems (HIS). The functionality and efficiency of these systems play a crucial role in delivering quality care to patients (Vlachogianni & Tselios., 2022). With the increasing use of technology in healthcare, the usability of HIS has become a critical factor in determining the success of healthcare organizations.

Traditional methods of evaluating the usability of HIS, such as user surveys, have limitations in capturing the complete picture. These surveys often rely on self-reported data and may not accurately reflect the user experience (Kushendriawan et al., 2021). Additionally, they do not provide a comprehensive view of the system's performance and may not identify underlying issues that affect usability.

By utilizing data from various sources, such as patient health records, clinical data, and user feedback, healthcare organizations can better understand their information systems' usability. This data can provide valuable insights into how well the system functions and highlight improvement areas. By utilizing data from various sources, such as patient health records, clinical data, and user feedback, healthcare organizations can better understand their information systems' usability (Hertzum., 2022). This data can provide valuable insights into how well the system functions and highlight improvement areas.

For example, by analyzing data from patient health records, organizations can assess the system's efficiency in data entry, ease of use, and accuracy of data input. Clinical data can provide information on how well the system supports clinical decision-making, such as alert systems for potential drug interactions or reminders for preventive care (Vlachogianni & Tselios., 2022). User feedback can also offer valuable insights into the overall user experience and identify any issues users may face.

Moreover, using data to evaluate HIS usability allows for a more objective and evidence-based approach. It can help identify patterns, trends, and areas of improvement that may have otherwise gone unnoticed (Hertzum., 2022). This information can then be utilized to make data-driven decisions and improvements to the system, ultimately enhancing its usability.

Data helps identify potential usability issues that can lead to medical errors: One of the main benefits of analyzing patient health records is that it helps healthcare organizations identify potential usability issues that can lead to medical errors (Vlachogianni & Tselios., 2022). Despite technological advances, Health Information Systems (HIS) can still be difficult to use, especially for healthcare professionals constantly under time pressure. This can result in errors that can have serious consequences for patient safety.

Healthcare organizations can identify patterns or trends that could indicate potential usability issues by analyzing patient health records. For example, data may show many medication errors occurring in a certain department or with a specific type of medication. This information can alert healthcare organizations to dig deeper and investigate the root cause of these errors, which may ultimately be traced back to a user interface that is confusing or difficult to navigate. Furthermore, analyzing data from patient health records can also help identify patterns related to user behavior. This includes how long it takes for healthcare professionals to complete tasks within HIS, which functions are used most frequently, and which features are ignored or underutilized (Vlachogianni & Tselios., 2022). By identifying these patterns, healthcare organizations can gain insights into how healthcare professionals interact with HIS and whether any potential usability issues need to be addressed.

By proactively addressing potential usability issues, healthcare organizations can prevent errors from occurring in the first place. This can significantly improve patient safety and reduce the risk of patient harm (Vlachogianni & Tselios., 2022). Moreover, addressing usability issues can also increase efficiency and productivity for healthcare professionals, allowing them to spend more time on patient care.

Healthcare information systems (HIS) are crucial in delivering healthcare services. These systems are designed to support healthcare professionals in their day-to-day tasks, such as recording patient information, ordering and tracking tests, and communicating with other healthcare providers (Inal et al., 2020). Therefore, HE needs to be designed with the end-users in mind to ensure their effectiveness and usability.

One way to inform design improvements in HIS is by analyzing user feedback data. This data can provide valuable insights into how well the system meets the needs of its intended users and identifies areas for improvement (Inal et al., 2020). For example, healthcare professionals may provide feedback on the system's ease of use, functionality, and effectiveness in supporting their workflow.

By collecting and analyzing this feedback data, designers can better understand how healthcare professionals use the system and identify pain points or areas of inefficiency. This information can then be used to inform design decisions and make necessary improvements to the system. For instance, if users consistently report difficulty finding specific patient information, designers can improve the search function or streamline the interface to make it easier to navigate (Hertzum., 2022). Furthermore, user feedback data can also gather insights into different healthcare professionals' specific needs and preferences, such as doctors, nurses, and pharmacists. This allows designers to tailor the system to meet the unique requirements of each user group, thereby promoting its usability and effectiveness.

References

Hertzum, M. (2022). Usability testing: A practitioner's guide to evaluating the user experience. Springer Nature.

Inal, Y., Wake, J. D., Guribye, F., & Nordgreen, T. (2020). Usability evaluations of mobile mental health technologies: systematic review. Journal of medical Internet research, 22(1), e15337.

Kushendriawan, M. A., Santoso, H. B., Putra, P. O. H., & Schrepp, M. (2021). Evaluating User Experience of a Mobile Health Application 'Halodoc'using User Experience Questionnaire and Usability Testing. Jurnal sistem informasi, 17(1), 58-71.

Vlachogianni, P., & Tselios, N. (2022). Perceived usability evaluation of educational technology using the System Usability Scale (SUS): A systematic review. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 54(3), 392-409.